Monochromatic simplices of any volume*

Adrian Dumitrescu†
Department of Computer Science
University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee
WI 53201-0784, USA
Email: ad@cs.uwm.edu

Minghui Jiang‡
Department of Computer Science
Utah State University
Logan, UT 84322-4205, USA
Email: mjiang@cc.usu.edu

October 7, 2009

Abstract

We give a very short proof of the following result of Graham from 1980: For any finite coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, and for any $\alpha > 0$, there is a monochromatic $(d+1)$-tuple that spans a simplex of volume α. Our proof also yields new estimates on the number $A = A(r)$ defined as the minimum positive value A such that, in any r-coloring of the grid points $\mathbb{Z}^2$ of the plane, there is a monochromatic triangle of area exactly A.

1 Introduction

The classical theorem of van der Waerden states that if the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is partitioned into two classes then at least one of the classes must contain an arbitrarily long arithmetic progression [20]. The result holds for any fixed number of classes [17]. Let $W(k,r)$ denote the van der Waerden numbers: $W = W(k,r)$ is the least integer such that for any r-coloring of $[1, W]$, there is a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length k. The following generalization of van der Waerden's theorem to two dimensions is given by Gallai's theorem [17]: If the grid points $\mathbb{Z}^2$ of the plane are finitely colored, then for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $x_0, y_0, h \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the t^2 points $\{(x_0 + ih, y_0 + jh) \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq t-1\}$ are of the same color.

Many extensions of these Ramsey type problems to the Euclidean space have been investigated in a series of papers by Erdős et al. [9, 10, 11] in the early 1970s, and by Graham [12, 13, 14]. See also Ch. 6.3 in the problem collection by Braß, Moser and Pach [4], and the recent survey articles by Braß and Pach [3] and by Graham [15, 16]. For a related coloring problem on the integer grid, see [6].

In the 1970s, Gurevich asked whether for any finite coloring of the plane, there always exists a monochromatic triangle of area 1, that is, a triangle of area 1 whose three vertices all have the same color. In 1980 Graham [12] answered this question and proved that for any finite coloring of the plane, and for any $\alpha > 0$, there is a monochromatic triangle of area α. In fact Graham proved a series of much stronger results; see Theorems 1, 2, and 3 below. In a more recent survey article, Braß and Pach [3] observed that for any 2-coloring of the plane there is a monochromatic triple that spans a triangle of unit area, and asked whether this holds for any finite coloring, apparently unaware of Graham's solution [12]. This also brought the problem to our attention. Graham's proof was quite involved, and was later simplified by Adhikari [1] using the same

*An earlier version of this paper appeared in the Proceedings of the 20th Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry (CCCG 2008), Montreal, August 2008, pages 71–74.

†Supported in part by NSF CAREER grant CCF-0444188.

‡Supported in part by NSF grant DBI-0743670.

main idea. Adhikari and Rath [2] have subsequently obtained a similar result for trapezoids. See also [8] for discussions on this and other related problems. Here we present a very short proof of Graham's result [12] in the following theorem, which gives new insight into the problem and also has quantitative implications (see Theorem 4).

Theorem 1 (Graham [12]). *For any finite coloring of the plane, and for any $\alpha > 0$, there is a monochromatic triangle of area α.*

As a corollary of the planar result, one obtains a similar result concerning simplices in d-space for all $d \geq 2$. This was pointed out by Graham [12] without giving details. For completeness, we include our short proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 2 (Graham [12]). *Let $d \geq 2$. For any finite coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and for any $\alpha > 0$, there is a monochromatic $(d+1)$-tuple that spans a simplex of volume α.*

Using a general "product" theorem for Ramsey sets [12, Theorem 3], Graham extended Theorem 2 to the following much stronger result that accommodates all values of α in the same color class. Theorem 3 below can also be obtained using the same "product" theorem in conjunction with our short proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3 (Graham [12]). *Let $d \geq 2$. For any finite coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$, some color class has the property that, for any $\alpha > 0$, it contains a monochromatic $(d+1)$-tuple that spans a simplex of volume α.*

Let $r \geq 2$. Graham [12] defined the number $T = T(r)$ as the minimum value $T > 0$ such that, in any r-coloring of the grid points $\mathbb{Z}^2$ of the plane, there is a monochromatic *right* triangle of area exactly T. We now define $A(r)$ for *arbitrary* triangles. Let $A = A(r)$ be the minimum value $A > 0$ such that, in any r-coloring of the grid points $\mathbb{Z}^2$ of the plane, there is a monochromatic grid triangle of area exactly A. Graham's proof of Theorem 1 [12] shows that $T(r)$ exists, which obviously implies the existence of $A(r)$. We clearly have $A(r) \leq T(r)$.

Graham [12] obtained an upper bound $T(r) \leq \widehat{T}(r) = S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdots S_r$, where

$$S_1 = 1, \quad S_{i+1} = (S_i + 1)! \cdot W(2(S_i + 1)! + 1, i + 1)!\,.$$

In Theorem 4 below, we derive an upper bound $A(r) \leq \widehat{A}(r)$, and show that $\widehat{A}(r) = o(\widehat{T}(r))$. While Graham [12] finds a *right* monochromatic grid triangle of area exactly $\widehat{T}(r)$, we find an *arbitrary* monochromatic grid triangle of area exactly $\widehat{A}(r)$. However, as far as we are concerned in answering the original question of Gurevich, or the question of Braß and Pach [3], this aspect is irrelevant.

For the lower bound, we clearly have $A(r) \geq 1/2$ because the triangles are spanned by grid points. Let $\mathrm{l.c.m.}$ denote the least common multiple of a set of numbers. Graham [12] notes the following lower bound for $T(r)$ based on cyclic colorings of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (without giving details):

$$T(r) \geq \frac{1}{2} \times \mathrm{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r) = e^{(1+o(1))r}.$$

We will show that the same lower bound holds for $A(r)$ as well.

Theorem 4 *Let $A = A(r)$ be the minimum value $A > 0$ such that, in any r-coloring of the grid points $\mathbb{Z}^2$ of the plane, there is a monochromatic triangle of area exactly A. Let*

$$H = \left\lfloor \frac{W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1) - 1}{r!} \right\rfloor, \text{ and } \widehat{A}(r) = H! \cdot r!.$$

Then $\frac{1}{2} \times \mathrm{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r) \leq A(r) \leq \widehat{A}(r)$, where $\widehat{A}(r) = o(\widehat{T}(r))$. Moreover, $\widehat{A}(r)$ grows much slower than $\widehat{T}(r)$.

It is worth noting the connection between the problems we discussed here and the following old and probably difficult problem of Erdős [7, 8]: Does there exist an absolute constant B such that any measurable plane set E of area B contains the vertices of a unit-area triangle? The answer is known only in certain special cases: if E has infinite area, or even if E has positive area but is unbounded, then E has the desired property; see [5, Problem G13, p. 182] and [19]. It follows that if in a finite coloring of the plane each color class is measurable, then the largest color class, say E, has infinite area, and hence there is a monochromatic triple that spans a triangle of unit area. But of course, this case is already covered by Theorem 1.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $R = \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ be the set of colors. Pick a Cartesian coordinate system (x, y). Consider the finite coloring of the lines induced by the coloring of the points: each line is colored (labeled) by the subset of colors $R' \subseteq R$ used in coloring its points. Note that this is a $(2^r - 1)$-coloring of the lines.

Set $N = W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1)$. By van der Waerden's theorem, any $(2^r - 1)$-coloring of the N horizontal lines $y = i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$, contains a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length $r! + 1$: $y_0, y_0 + k, \ldots, y_0 + r!k$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq r!\}$, where $\ell_i : y = y_0 + ik$ for some integers $y_0 \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$. Each of these lines is colored by the same set of colors, say $R' \subseteq R$.

Set $x = 2\alpha/r!k$. Consider the $r + 1$ points of ℓ_0 with x-coordinates $0, x, \ldots, rx$. By the pigeon-hole principle, two of these points, say a and b, share the same color, and their distance is jx for some $j \in R$. Pick any point c of the same color on the line $\ell_{r!/j}$ (note that $r!/j$ is a valid integer index, and this is possible by construction!). The three points a, b, c span a monochromatic triangle Δabc of area

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot jx \cdot \frac{r!k}{j} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2j\alpha}{r!k} \cdot \frac{r!k}{j} = \alpha,$$

as required.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

We proceed by induction on d. The basis $d = 2$ is verified in Theorem 1. Let now $d \geq 3$. Assume that the statement holds for dimension $d - 1$, and we prove it for dimension d.

Consider the finite coloring of the hyperplanes induced by the coloring of the points by a set R of r colors: each hyperplane is colored (labeled) by the subset of colors $R' \subseteq R$ used in coloring its points. We thus get a $(2^r - 1)$-coloring of the hyperplanes. Pick a Cartesian coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, and consider the set of parallel hyperplanes $x_d = i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let π_1 and π_2 be two parallel hyperplanes colored by the same set of colors, say $R' \subseteq R$. Let h be the distance between π_1 and π_2. By induction, π_1 has a monochromatic d-tuple that spans a simplex of volume $\alpha d/h$. Pick a point of the same color in π_2, and note that together they form a $(d + 1)$-tuple that spans a simplex of volume

$$\frac{1}{d} \cdot \frac{\alpha d}{h} \cdot h = \alpha,$$

as required.

4 Proof of Theorem 4

We note that our short proof of Theorem 1 does not imply the existence of $A(r)$, since the triangle found there is not necessarily a *grid* triangle. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1, but with different settings for the parameters. Set $\alpha = \widehat{A}(r)$. We will show that there is a grid triangle of area exactly α.

Let $R = \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ be the set of colors. Pick a Cartesian coordinate system (x, y). Consider the finite coloring of the lines induced by the coloring of the *grid* points on the lines: each line is colored (labeled) by the subset of colors $R' \subseteq R$ used in coloring its grid points. Note that this is a $(2^r - 1)$-coloring of the lines.

Set $N = W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1)$. By van der Waerden's theorem, any $(2^r - 1)$-coloring of the N horizontal grid lines $y = i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$, contains a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length $r! + 1$: $y_0, y_0 + k, \ldots, y_0 + r!k$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_i \mid 0 \le i \le r!\}$, where $\ell_i : y = y_0 + ik$ for some integers $y_0 \ge 0$, $k \ge 1$. Each of these grid lines is colored by the same set of colors, say $R' \subseteq R$. The common difference of this arithmetic progression is

$$k \le \left\lfloor \frac{W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1) - 1}{r!} \right\rfloor = H.$$

Set $x = 2\alpha/r!k$. Since $\alpha = \widehat{A}(r) = H! \cdot r!$, we have $x = 2H!/k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the $r + 1$ grid points on ℓ_0 with x-coordinates $0, x, \ldots, rx$. By the pigeon-hole principle, two of these points, say a and b, share the same color, and their distance is jx for some $j \in R$. Pick any grid point c of the same color on the line $\ell_{r!/j}$ (note that $r!/j$ is a valid integer index, and this is possible by construction!). The three grid points a, b, c span a monochromatic triangle Δabc of area

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot jx \cdot \frac{r!k}{j} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2j\alpha}{r!k} \cdot \frac{r!k}{j} = \alpha,$$

as required. This completes the proof of the existence of $A(r)$ and the upper bound $A(r) \le \widehat{A}(r)$.

We next show the lower bound for $A(r)$. Consider (independently) the following $r - 1$ colorings λ_j, $j = 2, \ldots, r$. The coloring λ_j colors grid point (x, y) with color $(y \mod j)$. Observe that the area of a triangle with vertices (x_i, y_i), $i = 1, 2, 3$, is

$$\frac{|x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + x_2y_3 - x_3y_2 + x_3y_1 - x_1y_3|}{2}.$$

Let Δ be a monochromatic grid triangle of area $A(r)$ in this coloring. By symmetry, there is a congruent triangle Δ_0 of color 0, whose y-coordinates satisfy $y_1 \equiv y_2 \equiv y_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{j}$. Hence $2A(r)$ is a nonzero multiple of j. By repeating this argument for each j, we get that $2A(r)$ is a nonzero multiple of all numbers $2, \ldots, r$, hence also of $\mathrm{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r)$. This completes the proof of the lower bound.

We now prove that $\widehat{A}(r) = o(\widehat{T}(r))$, and that $\widehat{A}(r)$ grows much slower than $\widehat{T}(r)$. Although our estimates $\widehat{A}(r)$ also depend on the van der Waerden numbers $W(k, r)$, the dependence shows a much more modest growth rate for $\widehat{A}(r)$ than for $\widehat{T}(r)$. For instance, since $W(3, 3) = 27$, we have $\widehat{A}(2) \le 13! \cdot 2! \approx 10^{10}$, while $\widehat{T}(2) \le 2W(5, 2)! = 2 \cdot 178! \approx 10^{325}$. We only have very imprecise estimates on van der Waerden numbers available. The current best upper bound, due to Gowers [18], gives

$$W(k, r) \le 2^{2^{f(k,r)}}, \quad \text{where } f(k, r) = r^{2^{2^{k+9}}}.$$

In particular,

$$W(7, 7) \le 2^{2^{7^{2^{2^{16}}}}}, \text{ and } \widehat{A}(3) = \left\lfloor \frac{W(7, 7) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor ! \cdot 6\,.$$

On the other hand, Graham's estimate

$$\widehat{T}(3) = 2 \cdot 178!(2 \cdot 178! + 1) \cdot W(2 \cdot (2 \cdot 178! + 1)! + 1, 3)!$$

appears to be much larger.

The ratio between the two estimates is amplified even more for larger values of r. Let now $r \ge 4$. We have

$$\widehat{A}(r) = H! \cdot r! = \left\lfloor \frac{W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1) - 1}{r!} \right\rfloor ! \cdot r! \le W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1)!\,.$$

Write $\log^{(i)} x$ for the ith iterated binary logarithm of x. Using again very weak inequalities such as

$$r! + 10 \le 2^{2^{r-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{2^{2^{2^{r-1}}}} \cdot r \le 2^{2^{2^{2^r}}},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log^{(2)} \widehat{A}(r) \le W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1),\\
&\log^{(2)} W(r! + 1, 2^r - 1) \le f(r! + 1, 2^r - 1),\\
&\log^{(1)} f(r! + 1, 2^r - 1) \le 2^{2^{r!+10}} \log(2^r - 1) \le 2^{2^{2^{2^r}}},\\
&\log^{(4)} 2^{2^{2^{2^r}}} = r.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\log^{(9)} \widehat{A}(r) \le r. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, even if we ignore the predominant factor $W(2(S_i + 1)! + 1, i + 1)!$ in the expression of S_{i+1} when estimating $\widehat{T}(r)$, the inequality $S_{i+1} \ge (S_i + 1)! \ge 2^{S_i}$ still implies that

$$\widehat{T}(r) \ge 2^{2^{2^{\cdot^{\cdot^{\cdot^{2}}}}}}, \quad \text{a tower of } r \text{ 2s.} \tag{2}$$

By comparing the two inequalities (1) and (2), we conclude that $\widehat{A}(r) \le \widehat{T}(r)$ for $r \ge 12$, and that $\widehat{A}(r) = o(\widehat{T}(r))$. Moreover, the two inequalities show that $\widehat{A}(r)$ grows much slower than $\widehat{T}(r)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Finally, observe that we can replace $H!$ and $r!$ with the smaller numbers $\text{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, H)$ and $\text{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r)$, respectively, and thereby obtain:

Corollary 1

$$\begin{aligned}
A(r) &\ge \frac{1}{2} \times \text{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r) = e^{(1+o(1))r}, \textit{ and}\\
A(r) &\le \text{l.c.m.}\left(2, 3, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{W(\text{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r) + 1, 2^r - 1) - 1}{\text{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r)} \right\rfloor\right) \times \text{l.c.m.}\,(2, 3, \ldots, r)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

It is an easy exercise to show that the above lower bound is tight for $r = 2$, that is, $A(2) = 1$. Consider two cases:

1. If the 2-coloring of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ follows a chess-board pattern, say point (x, y) is colored $(x + y) \mod 2$, then clearly there is a monochromatic triangle of area 1, for example the triangle with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, and $(0, 2)$.

2. Otherwise, there are two adjacent points of the same color, say $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$ of color 0. Suppose there is no monochromatic triangle of area 1. Then $(0, 2)$ and $(2, 2)$ would have color 1. Then $(0, 1)$ and $(2, 1)$ would have color 0. Then the triangle with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(2, 1)$ would have color 0 and area 1, a contradiction.

5 Conclusion

Graham [12] remarked in his paper that "it would be interesting to have better estimates for the function $T(r)$". As far as the question of Gurevich is concerned, we can in our turn add that it would be interesting to have better estimates for the function $A(r)$ as well. Returning to the question of estimating $T(r)$, it is worth noting here that Adhikari's simplified proof [1] of Theorem 1 gives an alternative upper bound $T(r) \le \widehat{T'}(r)$. The estimate $\widehat{T'}(r)$ in [1] satisfies the recurrence $\widehat{T'}(r) \ge 2^{\widehat{T'}(r-1)}$, for $r \ge 3$, with $\widehat{T'}(2) \ge 2^2$, which immediately implies that the the same tower of 2s expression in (2) is also a lower bound on his estimate $\widehat{T'}(r)$ for $r \ge 2$. Thus, as in our comparison of $\widehat{A}(r)$ and $\widehat{T}(r)$, we similarly conclude that our estimate $\widehat{A}(r)$ grows much slower than $\widehat{T'}(r)$ too. It would be nice to know whether perhaps both $T(r)$ and $A(r)$ are only simply exponential in r, as their common lower bound is.

References

[1] S. D. Adhikari: On a theorem of Graham, *Expositiones Mathematicae* **19** (2001), 365–367.

[2] S. D. Adhikari and P. Rath: Monochromatic configurations for finite colourings of the plane, *Note di Matematica* **22** (2003), 59–63.

[3] P. Braß and J. Pach: Problems and results on geometric patterns, in *Graph Theory and Combinatorial Optimization* (D. Avis et al., editors), Springer, 2005, pp. 17–36.

[4] P. Braß, W. Moser, and J. Pach: *Research Problems in Discrete Geometry*, Springer, New York, 2005.

[5] H. T. Croft, K. J. Falconer, and R. K. Guy: *Unsolved Problems in Geometry*, Springer, New York, 1991.

[6] A. Dumitrescu and R. Radoičić: On a coloring problem for the integer grid, in *Towards a Theory of Geometric Graphs*, (J. Pach, editor), Contemporary Mathematics Series of AMS, 2004, pp. 67–74.

[7] P. Erdős: Set-theoretic, measure-theoretic, combinatorial, and number-theoretic problems concerning point sets in Euclidean space, *Real Anal. Exchange*, **4(2)** (1978/79), 113–138.

[8] P. Erdős: Some combinatorial, geometric and set theoretic problems in measure theory, in *Measure Theory*, Oberwolfach, 1983. Lecture Notes in Math. **108**, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 321–327.

[9] P. Erdős, R. Graham, P. Montgomery, B. Rothschild, J. Spencer, and E. Straus: Euclidean Ramsey theorems, I, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **14** (1973), 341–363.

[10] P. Erdős, R. Graham, P. Montgomery, B. Rothschild, J. Spencer, and E. Straus: Euclidean Ramsey theorems, II, in *Infinite and Finite Sets*, vol. I; Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975, pp. 529–557.

[11] P. Erdős, R. Graham, P. Montgomery, B. Rothschild, J. Spencer, and E. Straus: Euclidean Ramsey theorems, III, in *Infinite and Finite Sets*, vol. I; Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975, pp. 559–583.

[12] R. Graham: On Partitions of $\mathbb{E}^n$, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, **28** (1980), 89–97.

[13] R. Graham: Topics in Euclidean Ramsey Theory, in *Mathematics of Ramsey Theory* (J. Nesetril and V. Rodl, editors), Springer-Verlag, New York, (1990), pp. 200–213.

[14] R. Graham: Recent trends in Euclidean Ramsey Theory, *Discrete Mathematics*, **136** (1994), 119–127.

[15] R. Graham: Some of my favorite problems in Ramsey Theory, *Integers: Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory*, **7(2)** (2007), #A15.

[16] R. Graham: Old and new problems and results in Ramsey theory, preprint, 2007, available at `http://www.math.ucsd.edu/~fan/ron/papers/papers.html`.

[17] R. Graham, B. Rothschild, and J. Spencer: *Ramsey Theory*, 2nd edition, John Wiley, New York, 1990.

[18] B. M. Landman and A. Robertson: *Ramsey Theory on the Integers*, American Mathematical Society, 2004.

[19] D. Mauldin: Some problems in set theory, geometry and analysis, in *Paul Erdős and his Mathematics I* (G. Halasz, L. Lovasz, M. Simonovits and V. T. Sos, editors), Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, vol. I, 2002, pp. 493–505.

[20] B. van der Waerden: Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde*, **15** (1927), 212–216.