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Abstract

According to a theorem of Szemerédi (1975), for every positive integer \(k\) and every \(\delta > 0\), there exists \(S = S(k, \delta)\) such that every subset \(X\) of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, S\}\) of size at least \(\delta S\) contains an arithmetic progression with \(k\) terms. Here we generalize the above result to any set of real numbers. For every positive integer \(k\) and every \(c > 0\), there exists \(N = N(k, c)\) such that every set of \(n \geq N\) points on the line that determine at most \(cn\) pairwise distances contains \(k\) points that form an arithmetic progression. A quantitative expression for \(N(k, c)\) is derived.
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1 Introduction

As remarked by Erdős et al. [5] in 1973, perhaps the first Ramsey type result of a geometric nature is van der Waerden’s theorem on arithmetic progressions (see also [9]):

**Theorem 1.** (van der Waerden [23]). For every positive integers \(k\) and \(r\), there exists a positive integer \(W = W(k, r)\) with the following property: For every \(r\)-coloring of the integers \(1, 2, \ldots, W\) there is a monochromatic arithmetic progression of \(k\) terms.

As early as 1936, Erdős and Turán had suggested that a stronger density statement must hold; this was confirmed about 40 years later:

**Theorem 2.** (Szemerédi [20]). For every positive integer \(k\) and every \(\delta > 0\), there exists \(S = S(k, \delta)\) such that every subset \(X\) of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, S\}\) of size at least \(\delta S\) contains an arithmetic progression with \(k\) terms.

It is easy to see that this result is indeed a strengthening of van der Waerden’s theorem and that \(W(k, r)\) can be chosen to be \(S(k, 1/r)\).

Alternative proofs of this result were subsequently obtained by Furstenberg [6], Furstenberg, Katznelson and Ornstein [7], Gowers [8], Rödl et al. [14], Tao [21], Green and Tao [10]. See also the survey by Shkredov [19] for an account on existent proofs. Most notably, some of the alternative proofs also produced much desired quantitative bounds that were previously unavailable (throughout this note all logarithms are in base 2):

**Theorem 3.** (Gowers [8]). For every positive integer \(k\) there exists a constant \(\alpha_k > 0\) such that every subset of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}\) of size at least \(N(\log \log N)^{-\alpha_k}\) contains an arithmetic progression with \(k\) terms. Moreover, \(\alpha_k\) can be taken to be \(2^{-2^{k+9}}\).
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An alternative form of Theorem 3 is the following. We shall use the notation $a \uparrow b$ for $a^b$; for example, $a \uparrow b \uparrow c = a \uparrow (b \uparrow c)$.

**Theorem 4.** (Gowers [8]). Let $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, let $k$ be a positive integer, let $N \geq 2 \uparrow 2 \uparrow \delta^{-1} \uparrow 2 \uparrow 2 \uparrow (k + 9)$, and let $A$ be a subset of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ of size at least $\delta N$. Then $A$ contains an arithmetic progression with $k$ terms.

By combining a result on integer set difference due to Ruzsa [15] with a classic result on simultaneous approximation [11, Theorem 200] (and implicitly assuming Theorems 2 and 3), here we obtain the following generalization of Szemerédi’s theorem:

**Theorem 5.** For every positive integer $k$ and every $c > 0$, there exists $N = N(k, c)$ such that every set of $n \geq N$ points on the line that determine at most $cn$ pairwise distances contains $k$ points that form an arithmetic progression. Moreover, one can take $N(k, c) = 2 \uparrow 2 \uparrow (5^4c^4) \uparrow 2 \uparrow 2 \uparrow (k + 9)$.

Let $A$ be a set of $n$ points on the line, and write $g(A)$ for the number of distinct distances determined by $A$. It is clear that $g(A) = \Theta(|A - A|)$, where $A - A = \{a' - a'' \mid a', a'' \in A\}$; more precisely, $|A - A| = 2g(A) + 1$. It is also clear that $g(A) \geq |A| - 1$, with equality for equidistant points; so if $g(A) \leq c|A|$, one can always assume that $c \geq 1$.

It is plain that Theorem 5 implies Theorem 2: indeed, if $X \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, S\}$ has size at least $\delta S$, then $g(X) \leq g(\{1, 2, \ldots, S\}) = S - 1 \leq \delta^{-1}|X|$, and the result follows from Theorem 5. In Section 2 we show that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 5.

Problems on distances determined by $n$ points have been first considered by Erdős in the 1940s [4] and the area is still very active today. See for instance a recent survey by Sheffer [17]. Here we show that the problem of distinct distances and that of arithmetic progressions are connected and establish a quantitative relation.

**Preliminaries.** Following [15], let $r_k(n)$ denote the maximum number of integers that can be selected from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ without including an arithmetic progression of $k$ terms and write $w_k(n) = n/r_k(n)$. It is known from Szemerédi’s theorem [20] that $w_k(n) \to \infty$ for every fixed $k$; see also [9, 22]. According to a result of Ruzsa [15], if $A$ is a set of $n$ integers that does not contain a $k$-term arithmetic progression, then

$$|A - A| \geq \frac{1}{2}w_k(n)^{1/4}n,$$

and thus $|A - A|/|A| \to \infty$ for every fixed $k$. In particular, $g(A)/|A| \to \infty$ for every fixed $k$.

The real numbers $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_k$ form a $k$-term arithmetic progression if $x_i = x_1 + (i - 1)r$, for $i = 2, \ldots, k$, and some $r > 0$. Equivalently, $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_k$ form a $k$-term arithmetic progression if and only if they satisfy the system of $k - 2$ equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_1 + x_3 &= 2x_2, \\
x_2 + x_4 &= 2x_3, \\
&\vdots \\
x_{k-2} + x_k &= 2x_{k-1}.
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 5

The argument is analogous with earlier proofs in [1, 2, 13] relying on simultaneous approximation. Let $A = \{a_1 < \ldots < a_n\}$ be a set of $n$ points on the line with $g(A) \leq c|A|$ and no $k$-term arithmetic
progression. We reduce this case to that when \( A \) consists of \( n \) integers. Using simultaneous approximation [11, Theorem 200], for any positive integer \( m \), there exist \( n \) rational points \( a_i' = r_i/m \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) where \( r_i, m \in \mathbb{N} \), and

\[
|a_i - r_i/m| \leq \frac{1}{m^{1+1/n}}
\]

holds for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). By choosing \( m \) large enough, we also have \( a_1' < \ldots < a_n' \) and the following two conditions hold: (i) \( A' = \{a_1', \ldots, a_n'\} \) has no \( k \)-term arithmetic progression, and (ii) \( g(A') \leq g(A) \).

Indeed, assume that \( A' \) contains a \( k \)-term arithmetic progression, as in (2):

\[
a_1' + a_3' = 2a_2', \\
a_2' + a_4' = 2a_3', \\
\vdots \\
a_{k-2}' + a_k' = 2a_{k-1}'.
\]

Observe that

\[
a_i + a_{i+2} - 2a_{i+1} = (a_i - a_i') + (a_{i+2} - a_{i+2}') - 2(a_{i+1} - a_{i+1}') \leq 2, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 2.
\]

Applying the triangle inequality gives the following system of inequalities:

\[
|a_i + a_{i+2} - 2a_{i+1}| \leq |a_i - a_i'| + |a_{i+2} - a_{i+2}'| + 2|a_{i+1} - a_{i+1}'| \leq \frac{4}{m^{1+1/n}}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 2.
\]

Since this holds for arbitrary large \( m \), we consequently have

\[
a_1 + a_3 = 2a_2, \\
a_2 + a_4 = 2a_3, \\
\vdots \\
a_{k-2} + a_k = 2a_{k-1}.
\]

This contradicts the absence of \( k \)-term AP’s in \( A \) thus (i) holds for \( m \) large enough.

Assume now that a pair of equal distances in \( A \) yields a pair of distinct distances for the corresponding points in \( A' \). Since any pair of equal distances yields a pair whose corresponding intervals are non-overlapping in their interiors, we may assume that

\[
|a_j - a_i| = |a_l - a_k| \text{ and } r_j - r_i \neq r_l - r_k, \quad \text{for some } i < j \leq k < l.
\]

Recall that \( r_j - r_i \geq 1 \) and \( r_l - r_k \geq 1 \) (since \( a_1' < \ldots < a_n' \)). We have

\[
\frac{r_l - r_k}{m} = \left| \frac{r_l}{m} - a_l + \frac{r_k}{m} - a_k \right| \leq |a_l - a_k| + \frac{2}{m^{1+1/n}},
\]

and

\[
\frac{r_j - r_i}{m} = \left| \frac{r_j}{m} - a_j + \frac{r_i}{m} - a_i \right| \geq |a_j - a_i| - \frac{2}{m^{1+1/n}}.
\]

The two inequalities above imply

\[
1 \leq |(r_l - r_k) - (r_j - r_i)| \leq m|a_l - a_k| + \frac{2}{m^{1+1/n}} - m|a_j - a_i| + \frac{2}{m^{1+1/n}} = \frac{4}{m^{1+1/n}}.
\]
As \( m \) tends to infinity, this leads to \((r_l - r_k) = (r_j - r_i)\), in contradiction with (5). Thus (ii) also holds for \( m \) large enough.

We next finalize the argument. Multiplying the numbers in \( A' \) by \( m \) yields a set \( A'' \) of \( n \) integers, such that \( A'' \) has no \( k \)-term arithmetic progression and \( g(A'')/|A''| = g(A')/|A'| \leq g(A)/|A| \). As such, \( g(A'') \leq c|A''| \); however, this is in contradiction to the result of Rusza mentioned in the preliminaries, according to which \( g(A'')/|A''| \to \infty \) for every fixed \( k \). This completes the proof of the first part.

To obtain the quantitative bound in Theorem 5, we combine the previous inequalities concerning \( A'' \) with (1):

\[
\frac{1}{2}w_k(n)^{1/4}n \leq |A'' - A''| = 2g(A'') + 1 < \frac{5}{2}g(A'') \leq \frac{5}{2}c|A''| = \frac{5}{2}cn.
\]

It follows that \( w_k(n) < (5c)^4 \). On the other hand, by Theorem 3,

\[
r_k(n) \leq \frac{n}{(\log \log n)^{\alpha_k}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_k = \frac{1}{2 \uparrow 2 \uparrow (k + 9)},
\]

and so

\[
(5c)^4 > w_k(n) = \frac{n}{r_k(n)} \geq (\log \log n)^{\alpha_k}.
\]

Consequently, the assumption that \( A \) has no \( k \)-term arithmetic progression implies that

\[
n < 2 \uparrow 2 \uparrow (5c^4) \uparrow 2 \uparrow (k + 9).
\]

The proof of Theorem 5 is now complete. \( \square \)

**Remark.** It is worth noting that the qualitative statement in Theorem 5 can be also deduced via a different argument based on applying Freiman’s theorem, as pointed out to us by Sheffer [18]; a sketch of the argument is included as a final token. On the other hand, the issue of whether a quantitative bound similar (or sharper) to that in Theorem 5 can be obtained via other means remains open for further investigation.

Let \( d \) and \( n_1, \ldots, n_d \) be positive integers and \( x_1, \ldots, x_d \) real numbers. A set \( G \) is a *generalized arithmetic progression* of dimension \( d \) and size \( |G| = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdots n_d \) if

\[
G = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{d} k_ix_i \mid 0 \leq k_i < n_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, d \right\},
\]

and all these elements are distinct; cf. [3, Ch. 1].

In the following, let \( c_i \geq 1 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, \) denote suitable positive constants. Assume that \( A \) determines at most \( c|A| \) distinct distances, and so \(|A - A| \leq c_1|A|\), where \( c_1 = 5c/2 \). Since \(|A - A| \leq c_1|A|\), we also have that \(|A + A| \leq c_2|A|\), where \( c_2 = c_2(c_1)\); see, e.g., [22, Proposition 2.27]. By Freiman’s theorem (see, e.g., [3, Ch. 1], [12, Theorem 8.1], [16], [22, Theorem 5.33]), \( A \) is contained in a generalized arithmetic progression \( G \) of a bounded dimension \( d = d(c_2) \), where \(|G| \leq c_3|A| \) and \( c_3 = c_3(c_2) \). It follows that \( A \) contains \( \delta_1|P| \) elements of some standard arithmetic progression \( P \), where \( P = \{p_1 + (i - 1)r : i = 1, \ldots, m\}, r > 0, m \geq |A|^{1/d} \), and \( \delta_1 = 1/c_3 \); see for instance [12, Theorem 9.1]. Let \( B = A \cap P \). A simple bijective transformation, call it \( f \), turns \( P \) into \( P' = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \); then by Theorem 2, \( f(A) \) contains a \( k \)-term arithmetic progression provided that \( n = |A| \) is large enough. Consequently, \( B \) (and thus also \( A \)) contains a \( k \)-term arithmetic progression, as required.
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